

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/889,862	07/23/2001	Jean-Michel Guirman	BDL-352XX	1507	
207	7590 05/18/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP			AFTERGUT, JEFF H		
TEN POST OF BOSTON, M	FFICE SQUARE		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
B0310N, M	11 02109		1733		
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

_			/\			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/889,862	GUIRMAN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeff H. Aftergut	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -				
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this 1) a timely filed amendme	nt which places the application in	!!			
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date e later than SIX MONTHS from AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT	the mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See N	MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Oftimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	I of extension and the correspor of the shortened statutory period ffice later than three months afte	iding amount of the fee. The appropriate for reply originally set in the final Office	action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	es Brief must be filed with FR 1.191(d)), to avoid disi	n the period set forth in missal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered l	because:					
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplify	ing the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ld be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed ame	ndment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has be	en considered but does NOT pla	ce the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were nev	vly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be ente would be rejected is provi	red or b)⊡ will be entered and a ded below or appended.	ın			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:					
Claim(s) allowed: 22 and 56-68.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>21,23,24,28,29,45,51 and 52</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19,25-27,41-44,48-50 and 55</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 30-40,46	<u>,47,53 and 54</u> .					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap		oved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem						
10. ☐ Other:	· · ·					
		Jeff/H. Aftergut) Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1733	jut			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly proposed claim not only incorporated the limitation of claim 21 but also REMOVED from the claim the langauge relating to the lack of the fiber plies being free from any cutouts or slots thereby obtaining a preform for a complete bowl in one piece and densification is performed on the complete bowl. As such applicant has presented a NEW combination of features NOT previously considered. The applicant argues that such does not effect the patentability of claim 1 (as presented), however it was the combiantion of features which included all of the limitations of the previously presented claims which rendered the claims patentable over the prior art of record (note regarding claim 1 that the limitation regarding the lack of slots or cutouts was argued strenously by applicant i the previous response dated 12/4/03, see pages 19-21 of the response).